U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

SEP 23 2010

**BROOKLYN OFFICE** 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LEVI Y. STONE

**CLASS ACTION** COMPLAINT

Plaintiff,

-against-

ZWICKER & ASSOCIATES, P.C. and PAUL W. ZWICKER

IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

SEP 23 2010

**BROOKLYN OFFICE** 

Defendants.

**MAUSKOPF** 

# COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated for damages arising from the defendants' violation of §1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

#### Introduction

1. This action seeks redress for the illegal practices of Defendants, concerning the collection of debt, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA").

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has Federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331. 2.
- Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions that give rise to 3. this action occurred, in substantial part, in this District. Additionally, Plaintiff resides in this District.
- Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York who resides in Kings County. 4.

- 5. Plaintiff is a "Consumer" as that term is defined by § 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA in that the alleged debt that the defendants, sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- 6. Upon information and belief, defendants are engaged in the practice of law within the state of Massachusetts and caused the collection letter complained of herein to be sent within the county of Kings, State of New York.
- 7. Defendants are regularly engaged in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 8. Defendants are "Debt Collectors" as that term is defined by § 1692(a)(6) of the FDCPA.
- 9. Plaintiff received direct communications from defendants, in the form of an initial written notice. Plaintiff in writing within 30 day thereafter disputed the debt. In a letter dated September 10, 2010, the defendant wrote to the plaintiff confirming the amount owed. Attached as Exhibit A is a redacted copy of the letter. Said letter constitutes an attempt to collect a debt.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

10. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP"), on behalf of Plaintiff and all consumers and their successors in interest (the "Class") who have received debt collection notices and/or letters from the defendants as of one year prior to the filing up and until twenty (20) days from the date of the filing of Plaintiff's complaint, which attempted to satisfy the legal requirement of verification by stating, in sum and substance that its client had confirmed the balance owed, and who the defendants without any additional verification

attempted to collect a debt from. Plaintiff seeks to represent a second class consisting of all consumers with addresses located within the City of New York, who disputed a debt with the defendants and who the defendants continued to attempt to collect the debt from without first sending the consumer the documentation required pursuant to New York City law. Excluded from the Class are the defendants herein, and any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity related to or affiliated with the defendants, including, without limitation, persons who are officers, directors, employees, associates or partners of defendants.

- 11. This action is properly maintained as a class action. This Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 for maintaining a class action.
- 12. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Upon information and belief, 40 or more persons have received debt collection notices from the defendant, which violate various provisions of the FDCPA.
- 13. There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
- a. Whether the defendants violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §1692g.
- b. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the defendants' conduct; and
- c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of defendants' wrongdoing and, if so, what is the proper measure

and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution.

- 14. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, and Plaintiff has no interests adverse or antagonistic to the interests of other members of the Class.
- 15. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and have retained experienced counsel, competent in the prosecution of class action litigation.
- 16. A class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- 17. A class action will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment also will permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a class action the Class members will continue to suffer losses of statutorily protected rights.
- 18. Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

## AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

19. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference, all the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

- 20. Upon information and belief, the collection letter is a form letter sent by defendants to the Plaintiff.
- 21. Collection letters, such as those sent by defendants, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."
- 22. Section 1692g requires the debt collector to cease collection activities where the consumer has timely disputed the debt in writing unless and until the debt collector sends verification of the deb to the consumer.
- 23. Defendants violated § 1692g, by continuing to collect the debt without providing the consumer with verification.
- 24. Defendants violated §§ 1692e and 1692f by continuing to collect a debt without giving the required verification pursuant to New York City law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that the Court enter judgment as follows:

- a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a class action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representatives;
  - b) Awarding Plaintiff and the class statutory damages;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff and the class costs of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; and
- d) Awarding Plaintiff and the class such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Cedarhurst, New York

September 20, 2010

Plaintiff request trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Lawrence Katz

445 Central Avenue Suite 201

Cedarhurst, New York 11516

Telephone (516) 374-2118

Facsimile (516) 706-2404

# Case 1:10 cv 0/331 RRM-CLP Document 1 Filed 09/23/10 Page 8 of 8 Page D #: 8

RETURN MAIL ADDRESS FOR POST OFFICE USE ONLY PO Box 101145 Birmingham AL 35210-6145

|| 数据级数||

00054

8

Zwicker & Associates, P.C. Attorneys At Law





LEVI STONE 1051 E 28TH ST BROOKLYN NY 11210-3741 THIS LAW FIRM EMPLOYS ONE OR MORE ATTORNEYS ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN THE FOLLOWING STATES:

**ARIZONA** 

CALIFORNIA

CONNECTICUT

**FLORIDA** 

**GEORGIA** 

IDAHO

ILLINOIS

KENTUCKY

MARYLAND

MASSACHUSETTS

MICHIGAN

**NEW JERSEY** 

**NEW HAMPSHIRE** 

**NEW YORK** 

оню

OREGON

TENNESSEE

TEXAS

VIRGINIA

WASHINGTON

WEST VIRGINIA

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

### Personal and Confidential

09/10/2010

File ID:

Re: Chase Bank USA, N.A.

Account No:

1,2,3,4

Balance: S1

Dear LEVI STONE:

This letter will confirm that based on information which this law firm has received from our client, the creditor identified above, as of today's date you owe the amount stated next to the word "Balance" above on the above-identified account.

Please contact this office to discuss repayment with Jennifer Dunn, one of our non-attorney account managers.

Very truly yours,

ZWICKER & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This firm is a debt collector.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Important notices appear on the back of this letter. Please read them as they may affect your rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This firm is attempting to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

<sup>\*</sup> Colorado residents: please read important notice on the back of this letter.